

NYISO Scoring of 2022 Proposed Enterprise Projects

Brian Hurysz

Manager, Enterprise Infrastructure Products

Budget and Priorities Working Group

July 14, 2021, Krey Corporate Center

Agenda

- Project Prioritization Phases, Milestones, Survey, and Timeline
- NYISO Scoring
- 2022 Enterprise Project Candidates
- Historic Budgets
- Next Steps



Project Prioritization Phases, Milestones, Survey, and Timeline



Project Prioritization Process

Phase	Description
Stakeholder Project Identification	Stakeholders may present project ideas at stakeholder meetings and sector meetings, receive feedback, and refine their proposal during this phase before the NYISO provides a comprehensive list of candidate projects for consideration.
Identification	The NYISO develops a Markets and Enterprise project candidate lists based on regulatory obligations, strategic initiatives, State of the Market recommendations, infrastructure enhancements, product plans, and stakeholder proposals. These project candidates are presented and further refined with stakeholder input during this phase.
Prioritization	This phase involves a stakeholder survey and the NYISO prioritization of projects. The stakeholder survey will facilitate an assessment of the relative priority of the topic within the portfolio and is used to determine stakeholder appeal. The NYISO prioritization incorporates the stakeholder appeal into objective criteria that reflect strategic alignment, expected outcomes, risks, and ability to execute in development of a priority score for each Market project.
Evaluation	This phase involves performing a feasibility assessment based on detailed cost and labor estimates, dependencies, priority scores, and stakeholder feedback.
Recommendation	This phase involves proposing a feasible set of project deliverables and related budget requirements. The proposal is refined as needed based on stakeholder feedback.




Project Category

Project Category	Description
Enterprise	Includes internal-facing technology and back office support projects that have no market rule changes. This list includes projects that may be noticeable to Market Participants. These projects are scored by the NYISO depending on their Project Type, NOT included in the stakeholder survey.
Market	Projects associated with market rule(s) including market design and study projects, as well as any project implementing market rule changes. These projects are scored by the NYISO and included in the stakeholder survey depending on their Project Type.




2022 Proposed Project Prioritization Timeline



Project Type

Project Type	Description
Mandatory	Strategic Initiatives and FERC Orders. These projects will be included in the budget
Continuing	Projects approved in a prior year and that have progressed to either Software Design, Development Complete, or Deployment will generally be proposed as Continuing. Additional projects may be classified as Continuing based on stakeholder feedback. These projects will be included in the budget
Future	Consensus from stakeholder discussions of this projects priority relative to other projects has resulted in these projects NOT being prioritized and initiated in the coming budget year. Resources, time constraints, stakeholder feedback, and other project dependencies have been taken into consideration
Prioritize	Projects to be prioritized and included in the budget based on a feasibility assessment taking into consideration resources, time constraints, stakeholder feedback, priority score, and other project dependencies.




Survey Scoring

Score Type	Description
Raw	Average of scores from each organization that has completed the stakeholder survey
Weighted	Scores from voting members only are averaged across the sector they are in and weighted based on governance voting weights
Sector	Number of sectors that supported a particular project. A sector is only counted if at least 25% or more of survey respondents from sector have allocated points and average across the survey respondents from the sector is 5 points or more.
Sum of Scores	The combined total of Raw, Weighted and Sector scores.



Survey Appeal Definition* Same as used in 2019

Criteria	Criteria	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	NONE
Cilicila	Weight	10	7	3	0
Appeal	15	Broad Customer Support: Supported by 5 sectors; or either Raw or Weighted scores >= 5.00 (5.00 is equivalent to 20% of survey respondents applying 25 points or more)	sectors; or either Raw or Weighted scores >= 2.50	Minimal Customer Support: Supported by 2 or 3 sectors; or either Raw or Weighted scores >= 1.25 (1.25 is equivalent to 5% of survey respondents applying 25 points or more)	Little to No Customer Support



Project Prioritization Criteria * Same as used in 2019

			PRIO	RITIZATION CRITERIA		
0-4-4	Outhouto	Criteria	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	NONE
Category	Criteria	Weight	10	7	3	0
	Leader in Reliability	10	Significantly improves NYISO ability to maintain NYCA Reliability	Moderately improves NYISO ability to maintain NYCA Reliability	Minimally improves NYISO ability to maintain NYCA Reliability	None
Strategy	Leader in Market Design	10	Significantly improves NYISO Market Design	Moderately improves NYISO Market Design	Minimally improves NYISO Market Design	None
(If we do this project)	Leader in Technology Innovation	6	Significantly advances the IT strategy or technology improvement	Moderately advances the IT strategy or technology improvement	Minimally advances the IT strategy or technology improvement	None
	Sustain and Enhance Robust Planning Processes	9	Supports tariff, FERC, NPCC, or NYSERC compliance requirements for Planning Process	Supports reliability planning and/or Business Plan objectives	Required for SRP planning study efficiency or continuous improvement initiatives	None
	NYISO Annual Cost Reduction	10	>\$500k savings-Direct and soft (labor)	>\$100k, <\$500k savings-Direct and soft (labor)	>\$10k,<\$100k savings - Direct and soft (labor)	<\$10k savings - Direct and soft (labor)
Outcome (If we do this project)	this		Broad Customer Support: Supported by 5 sectors with 25% or more of survey respondents per sector applying points and average across the survey respondents per sector of 5 points or more; or either raw or weighted scores equivalent to 20% of survey respondents applying 25 points or more	sector of 5 points or more; ; or either raw or weighted	Minimal Customer Support: Supported by 2 sectors with 25% or more of survey respondents per sector applying points and average across the survey respondents per sector of 5 points or more; or either raw or weighted scores equivalent to 5% of survey respondents applying 25 points or more	Little to No Customer Support
	Market Efficiency	10	Significant improvement	Moderate improvement	Minimal improvement	No impact
	Post Production Sustainability	5	Existing support structure and skills	Support structure exists but needs minimal modifications	Support structure exists but needs major modifications	No skills or support structure in place
	Compliance	10	Significant risk of compliance violation	Moderate risk of compliance violation	Minimal risk of compliance violation	None
Risk (If we do NOT do this	Business Process (inclusive of technology impact on business process)	5	Enterprise Wide and/or Bid to Bill Impact. The project impacts processes in most departments	Multiple Department Impact.	Department Wide Impact The project impacts many processes within a department	Only one or two processes impacted
project)	Reliability and Market	10	Mission-critical systems becoming non operational or above \$1 million market impact		Non mission-critical systems affected or \$10,000 - \$100,000 market impact	No or less than 10,000 impac
	Cost	4	Total project cost (current & future years) estimated <\$100k	Total project cost (current & future years) estimated >\$100k, <\$500k	Total project cost (current & future years) estimated >\$500, <\$1M	Total project cost (current & future years) estimated >\$1N
Execution (If we do this	Multi-Year Dependency	8	Continuation of a multi-year project - postponement significantly disrupts value of previous investments	Continuation of a multi-year project - postponement moderately disrupts value of previous investments	Continuation of a multi-year project - postponement minimally disrupts value of previous investments	None
project)	Complexity of Business and Technology	4	One area/technology	Cross-functional < 3 Areas/Technology	Highly Cross-functional/ Re-engineering	Complex, solution and impact unknown
	Compliance	8	Non-appealable, ordered by FERC / desired by NYISO and MP	Ordered by FERC, undesired by NYISO or MP	Potential order identified by FERC	No order identified by FERC



Milestone Definitions

Milestone	Definition
Issue Discovery	NYISO has facilitated education session(s) for stakeholder knowledge development of problem/issue, conducted stakeholder solicitation of potential solutions to address problem/issue, and summarized findings at a working group meeting for potential ranking and future project identification.
Study Defined	The scope of work for the study has been presented to stakeholders, including a discussion on the necessary input(s), assumption(s) and objective(s) of the study.
Study Complete	Scope of work to be performed has been completed; results and recommendations have been presented to the appropriate Business Owners and stakeholders.
Market Design Concept Proposed	NYISO has initiated or furthered discussions with stakeholders that explore potential concepts to address opportunities for market efficiency or administration improvements.
Market Design Complete	NYISO has developed with stakeholders a market design concept such that the proposal can be presented for a vote at the BIC or MC to define further action on the proposal.
Functional Requirements	NYISO has completed documentation of the functional requirements and the Business Owner has approved.
Architectural Design	The architectural design document is complete and software development is ready to begin.
Projects with the followi	ng Milestones will generally be proposed as Continuing in future years, subject to Stakeholder input
Software Design	The software design document is complete and software development is ready to begin.
Development Complete	Development has been completed, packaged and approved by the Supervisor.
Deployment	Required software changes to support commitment have been integrated into the production environment.





Product / Project	Product portfolio	Leader in Reliability	Leader in Market Design	Leader in Technology Innovation	Sustain and Enhance Robust Planning Processes	NYISO Annual Cost Reduction	Appeal*	Market Efficiency	Post Production Sustainability	Compliance	Business Process (inclusive of technology impact on business process)	Reliability and Market	Cost	Multi-Year Dependency	Complexity of Business and Technology	Compliance	Score* (1-1240)
		10	10	9	6	10	15	10	വ	10	വ	10	4	∞	4	∞	
Transactions Modifications and Confirmation Tool	Business & Finance	0	0	3	0	3		7	7	7	7	3	7	3	7	0	419
Credit Price Spread Updates Virtual and External Transactions	Business & Finance	0	0	0	0	3		7	7	7	3	7	7	0	10	0	407
BTM Solar Demand Forecasting Product Enhancements	Planning	3	3	0	7	3		3	7	3	3	3	7	0	3	0	379
System Demand End Use and Electrification Forecasting Enhancements	Planning	3	0	0	7	0		7	7	3	7	3	3	0	7	0	379
Web Content Management System Upgrade	Enterprise	0	0	3	0	3		0	10	3	10	3	7	7	10	0	378
LFDR Upgrade and Enhancements	Planning	3	0	3	7	3		0	7	3	3	7	3	0	3	0	358
ICAP Supplier Status Enhancements	Capacity Market	3	0	0	0	3		3	10	3	3	3	10	0	10	0	336
Market Validation, Reporting and Penalty Enhancements	Capacity Market	3	0	0	0	3		3	10	3	3	3	10	0	10	0	336
On-Boarding of New Resources	New Resource	7	0	0	0	7		0	10	0	7	0	7	0	10	0	333
Outage States Portal/Dashboard	Capacity Market	3	0	0	0	0		7	3	3	7	3	10	0	10	0	330
NetBackup Appliance Refresh	Enterprise	0	0	3	0	3		0	10	3	10	7	0	0	10	0	328
PI Tools Upgrade	Operations & Reliability	3	0	7	0	0		0	7	0	10	7	7	0	7	0	322

^{*}The score for an individual project is computed by taking the sum of project score times the weight of the category, which is listed in the top row of the table. Projects not included in the stakeholder survey have no appeal category score. Project scores with no appeal score have been normalized to those with scores by multiplying their raw score by the ratio of the weights with appeal category divided by the weights without appeal category (i.e. score = raw score * 124 / 109).



Product / Project	Product portfolio	Leader in Reliability	Leader in Market Design	Leader in Technology Innovation	Sustain and Enhance Robust Planning Processes	NYISO Annual Cost Reduction	Appeal*	Market Efficiency	Post Production Sustainability	Compliance	Business Process (inclusive of technology impact on business process)	Reliability and Market	Cost	Multi-Year Dependency	Complexity of Business and Technology	Compliance	Score* (1-1240)
		10	10	9	6	10	15	10	5	10	D.	10	4	8	4	8	
Microsoft 365	Enterprise	0	0	10	0	7		0	10	0	10	0	0	3	7	0	321
CMS and ConInvoice Data Integration	Business & Finance	0	0	0	0	3		7	10	3	3	3	7	0	7	0	320
Business Entity and Credit Questionnaire Enhancements	Business & Finance	0	0	0	0	3		3	7	3	3	7	7	0	10	0	316
Content Management and Collaboration Solution	Enterprise	0	0	7	3	3		3	10	0	7	0	7	3	3	0	316
Meter Services System	Business & Finance	0	0	0	0	0		7	7	7	7	0	7	0	10	0	316
Unified Communications Platform	Enterprise	3	0	3	0	3		0	10	0	10	3	7	3	3	0	309
BMS Performance Certification	Enterprise	3	3	7	0	0		0	10	0	7	3	0	3	7	0	306
NYISO Hosted Siemens Cloud	Planning	0	0	3	10	0		0	7	0	3	3	7	3	7	0	305
Privilege Access Management Upgrade and Enhancements	Enterprise	0	0	7	0	0		0	7	3	3	3	7	3	10	3	305
Krey Control Room A/V Replacement	Enterprise	3	0	7	0	0		0	10	0	3	7	7	0	7	0	299
Contingency Analysis Results for Transmission Owner Situational Awareness	Operations & Reliability	7	0	0	0	0		0	7	3	3	3	10	0	10	0	296
Natural Gas Notices Enhancement Project	Operations & Reliability	7	0	7	0	0		0	7	0	3	3	7	0	10	0	296

^{*}The score for an individual project is computed by taking the sum of project score times the weight of the category, which is listed in the top row of the table. Projects not included in the stakeholder survey have no appeal category score. Project scores with no appeal score have been normalized to those with scores by multiplying their raw score by the ratio of the weights with appeal category divided by the weights without appeal category (i.e. score = raw score * 124 / 109).



Product / Project	Product portfolio	Leader in Reliability	Leader in Market Design	Leader in Technology Innovation	Sustain and Enhance Robust Planning Processes	NYISO Annual Cost Reduction	Appeal*	Market Efficiency	Post Production Sustainability	Compliance	Business Process (inclusive of technology impact on business process)	Reliability and Market	Cost	Multi-Year Dependency	Complexity of Business and Technology	Compliance	Score* (1-1240)
		10	10	9	თ	10	15	10	2	10	വ	10	4	8	4	8	
Salesforce CRM Optimization	Business & Finance	0	0	3	0	3		3	7	3	7	0	7	3	7	0	294
Demand Forecasting Operational Reporting Enhancements	Planning	0	0	3	7	3		0	3	3	3	3	7	0	3	0	274
SDDB Steady State Dynamics Database MP Portal	Planning	3	0	0	7	3		3	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	0	270
Finance Systems Technology Upgrades	Enterprise	0	0	7	0	3		0	10	0	3	3	7	0	10	0	267
Minimum Oil Burn Enhancements	Business & Finance	0	0	0	0	3		3	7	3	3	0	7	0	10	0	237
ASIS Rule for Re-write - Validating Exports	Energy Market	0	0	3	0	3		0	7	0	7	0	10	0	7	0	212
Price Validation Technology Upgrades	Enterprise	0	0	7	0	3		0	7	0	3	0	7	0	7	0	202
IT Development and Control of Compliance Reports	Operations & Reliability	0	0	7	0	0		0	10	0	3	0	7	0	10	0	199
Control Room Logging Replacement	Operations & Reliability	3	0	3	0	3		0	7	0	3	0	3	0	7	0	191
Next Generation Test Environment Study	Enterprise	0	0	7	0	0		0	3	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	114

^{*}The score for an individual project is computed by taking the sum of project score times the weight of the category, which is listed in the top row of the table. Projects not included in the stakeholder survey have no appeal category score. Project scores with no appeal score have been normalized to those with scores by multiplying their raw score by the ratio of the weights with appeal category divided by the weights without appeal category (i.e. score = raw score * 124 / 109).



2022 Enterprise Projects Candidates

(No changes from June 8th BPWG materials)



Continuing 2022 Enterprise Projects

								Estimated Cost (in millions						
Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total					
1	ACC Control Room Renovations	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment		0.49	5.66	0.00	6.15					
2	Application Platform Upgrade	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.49					
3	Database Upgrade	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.26					
4	EMS/BMS Operational Enhancements	Operations & Reliability	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.09	0.00	1.21	1.29					
5	IT Infrastructure Automation	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.19	0.10	0.22	0.51					
6	ITSM Security Enhancements	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.43	0.34	0.31	1.07					
7	Network Infrastructure Upgrade	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.19	1.56	0.00	1.75					



Continuing 2022 Enterprise Projects

						Estim	nated Cos	st (in mil	lions)
Item	Project Product Project 2022 Proposed 2021 Area Type Deliverable Deliverable					Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
8	Outage Management System (OMS)	Operations & Reliability	Continuing	Deployment	Development Complete	0.62	0.00	0.86	1.48
9	UPS Replacement	Enterprise	Continuing	Study Complete		0.09	0.65	0.00	0.74
10	Windows System Upgrade	Enterprise	Continuing	Deployment	Deployment	0.23	1.66	0.15	2.04



Estimated Cost (in millions)

Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
11	ASIS Rule for Re-write - Validating Exports	Energy Market	Prioritize	Deployment		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
12	BMS Performance Certification	Enterprise	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.08	0.00	1.00	1.08
13	BTM Solar Demand Forecasting Product Enhancements	Planning	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10
14	Business Entity and Credit Questionnaire Enhancements	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Deployment		0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18
15	CMS and ConInvoice Data Integration	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
16	Content Management and Collaboration Solution	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.13	0.03	0.00	0.15
17	Contingency Analysis Results for Transmission Owner Situational Awareness	Operations & Reliability	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09



Estimated Cost (in millions)

Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
18	Control Room Logging Replacement	Operations & Reliability	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.14	0.21	0.00	0.35
19	Credit Price Spread Updates Virtual and External Transactions	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.07	0.09	0.00	0.16
20	Demand Forecasting Operational Reporting Enhancements	Planning	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09
21	Finance Systems Technology Upgrades	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14
22	ICAP Supplier Status Enhancements	Capacity Market	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04
23	IT Development and Control of Compliance Reports	Operations & Reliability	Prioritize	Deployment		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
24	Krey Control Room A/V Replacement	Enterprise	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.03	0.00	0.05	0.08



Estimated	O4/:-	
Louiniacou	0001111	

Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
25	LFDR Upgrade and Enhancements	Planning	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10
26	Market Validation, Reporting and Penalty Enhancements	Capacity Market	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
27	Meter Services System	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
28	Microsoft 365	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.47	0.28	0.55	1.30
29	Minimum Oil Burn Enhancements	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Deployment		0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18
30	Natural Gas Notices Enhancement Project	Operations & Reliability	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.06	0.00	0.14	0.20
31	NetBackup Appliance Refresh	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.10	1.39	0.25	1.73



Estimated	O+ /:-	
Lottillatou	0000 (11	

Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
32	Next Generation Test Environment Study	Enterprise	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03
33	NYISO Hosted Siemens Cloud	Planning	Prioritize	Deployment		0.04	0.18	0.00	0.22
34	On-Boarding of New Resources	New Resource	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.16	0.00	0.00	0.16
35	Outage States Portal/Dashboard	Capacity Market	Prioritize	Functional Requirements		0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
36	PI Tools Upgrade	Operations & Reliability	Prioritize	Deployment		0.16	0.00	0.11	0.27
37	Price Validation Technology Upgrades	Enterprise	Prioritize	Software Design		0.15	0.00	0.15	0.30
38	Privilege Access Management Upgrade and Enhancements	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.14	0.00	0.05	0.19



Estimated Cost	(in millione)
Latimated Coat	

Item	Project	Product Area	Project Type	2022 Proposed Deliverable	2021 Deliverable	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total
39	Salesforce CRM Optimization	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Deployment		0.19	0.08	0.23	0.49
40	SDDB Steady State Dynamics Database MP Portal	Planning	Prioritize	Deployment		0.24	0.00	0.04	0.28
41	System Demand End Use and Electrification Forecasting Enhancements	Planning	Prioritize	Study Complete		0.04	0.00	0.10	0.13
42	Transactions Modifications and Confirmation Tool	Business & Finance	Prioritize	Deployment		0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12
43	Unified Communications Platform	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.07	0.35	0.00	0.41
44	Web Content Management System Upgrade	Enterprise	Prioritize	Deployment		0.10	0.00	0.13	0.23



Historic Budgets



2022 Proposed Projects Compared to Historic Approved Budgets

	Estin	Estimated Cost (in millions)						
Project Budget*	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total	Mandatory	Continuing		
2022 Proposed Projects	16.82	12.65	18.65	48.12	12.39	17.23		
2021 Approved	11.58	5.92	9.02	26.52	7.58	14.15		
2020 Approved	13.57	5.73	12.40	31.69	10.48	10.74		
2019 Approved*	11.47	4.65	12.82	28.95	9.40	14.82		



^{*} Excludes EMS/BMS Upgrade project as it had separate financing

Comparison of Proposed Projects Budgets

	Es	stimated Cost				
Project Budget*	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total	Mandatory	Continuing
2022 Proposed Projects	16.82	12.65	18.65	48.12	12.39	17.23
2021 Proposed Projects	16.41	14.50	13.82	44.73	11.73	19.64
2020 Proposed Projects	17.98	6.12	20.16	44.26	13.31	12.82
2019 Proposed Projects*	14.88	5.44	18.10	38.42	10.02	16.40




^{*} Excludes EMS/BMS Upgrade project as it had separate financing

Markets & Enterprise Budget Breakdown

	Markets I					
Project Budget*	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total	Mandatory	Continuing
2022 All Proposed	9.76	0.10	13.13	22.99	12.39	1.44
2021 Approved	6.45	0.10	5.54	12.09	5.80	4.58
2020 Approved	6.89	0.27	5.85	13.01	10.10	0.77

	Enterprise	Enterprise Estimated Cost (in millions)						
Project Budget*	Labor	Capital	Prof. Serv.	Total	Mandatory	Continuing		
2022 All Proposed	6.99	12.55	5.52	25.07	0.00	15.79		
2021 Approved	5.13	5.82	3.49	14.44	1.77	9.57		
2020 Approved	6.67	5.46	6.55	18.68	9.97	10.74		

Note: The NYISO did not have separate Market and Enterprise categories prior to 2020



Next Steps



Next Steps

- Review the NYISO's initial project budget recommendation at the July 29th BPWG meeting
- Review the NYISO's revised project budget recommendation at the August 27th BPWG meeting
- Contact Brian Hurysz or Member Relations for any Project Prioritization related issues
 - Send to Brian Hurysz at <u>bhurysz@nyiso.com</u> or cell (518) 461-6405



Our mission, in collaboration with our stakeholders, is to serve the public interest and provide benefit to consumers by:

- Maintaining and enhancing regional reliability
- Operating open, fair and competitive wholesale electricity markets
- Planning the power system for the future
- Providing factual information to policymakers, stakeholders and investors in the power system





Questions?

